ISSN: 2322 – 0392 Int. J. Rec. Biotech. 2013, 1 (1): 21-24

Review Article



Documentation of Ophiofauna of Mukundara Hills National Park, Kota, Rajasthan (India)

Manoj Kumar Sen¹ and Krishnendra Singh Nama^{2*}

¹M.Sc. Wildlife Science, University of Kota, Kota (Rajasthan)

²Lecturer, Dept. of Life Science University of Kota, Kota (Rajasthan)

*Corresponding Author Email: namasahib@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Present studies are aimed to document the ophiofauna of Mukundara Hills National Park, Kota (Rajasthan). During the previous two years from 2011 to 2012, attempts have been made to document and observe the reptiles in various selected parts of Mukundara. 19 species of venomous and non-venomous snakesbelonging to 6families have so far been observed from the study area. These numbers are by no means exhaustive and future studies are expected to uncover new records. Different study techniques adopted for these observations Line transects, Field tracking and regular surveyshowed best results.

Key words: Reptile, Ophiofauna, Mukundara Hills National Park, Line transects, Rare.

INTRODUCTION

The fauna of Mukundara Hills National Park primarily comprises of various types of carnivores and herbivores. Itharbours a variety of plantspecies of valuable medicinal properties. Mukundara is one of the best forests in Rajasthan as indicated by the presence of Mosses and Ferns which require sufficient moisture for their growth. This national park is very rich in avian biodiversity approximate more than 200 birds reported in the protected area.

Reptiles are cold-blooded animals almost found all parts of the world, except the very cold regions. In India, all the three living orders of reptiles have their representative – Crocodilia (crocodiles), Testudines (turles & tortoises), Squamata (lizards & snakes). Fortunately Mukundara Hills National Park is taming closely very threatened herpes from their entire representative.

Aim of the study was to assessment the actual Ophiofauna of the National Park. During study periodsome of rare reptiles and amphibians like Indian Rock Python (*Python molurus molurus*), Moniter Lizard (*Varanus benglensis*), Indian Crocodile (*Crocodylus palustris*), and Indian narrow-headed soft shell turtle (*Chitra indica*) etc.were encountered.

STUDY AREA

Kota is one of the eastern districts of Rajasthan, situated between 24.2° and 25.2° N and 75.37° and 77.26° S of south-east of Rajasthan. Mukundara series of Vindhyan hills represents its elevation. None of the part of this region is included in the category of desert.



Mukundara Hills National Park was formerly declared as Darrah Wildlife Sanctuary in 1955 with 5 regions of Rajasthan. In 1984-85 it was included in wild life reserves. It is situated 55 Km. far from Kota on Kota-Jabalpur NH-12 and it is attached with Dehli-Mumbai rail line at Darrah Satation. Darrah is named due to 80 km. long and 5-6 km. broad natural valley of parallel hills of height of 335-505 m. The four rivers

which form the boundary of this valley are Amzhar, Aahu, Kalisindh and Chambal. This National Park is now considered as **3rd Tiger Reserve** of Rajasthan state of total 759.99 km.² area containing 417.17 km.² core and 342.82 km.² buffer zones. Delhi-Mumbai railway line at one side and river Chambal on the other side flank this forest.

There are eight to 10 villages inside the park, where mostly Gurjar community lives whose principle occupation is collecting firewood and animal husbandry.

Mukundara Hills National Park is widely distributed in following four ranges

- 1. Kolipura range
- 2. Laxmipura range
- 3. Mashalpura range
- 4. Darah range

The entire park was the part of our study area. We studied in three rangesof this park from Kolipura to Laxmipura range and Darah range. We tried to cover 38 kms of regular track of forest from Kolipura range to Darah range. Well in our 2 years from Jan. 2011-Dec. 2012field study and surveys we observed some hot sites for wildlife and extreme biodiversity where we encountered few rich Ophiofauna and favorable habitats for all reptiles. We startedour study and field work from Kolipura to Girdharpura, Borkui, Kanjar, Ambapani, Damodarpura, Laxmipura, Ranwtha and Darah range.

METHODOLOGY

To observe the Ophiofauna of this national Park few scientific methods were used. To record of snakes species we used high resolution cameras for photos GPS for asses the locality inch tape, magnifying glass, binoculars, field guides, snake sticks etc.

- Line transects laid to find out the snakes species on the base of direct and indirect evidences. In our 19 line transect we found total 81 evidences of snakes including of 11 direct sightings and 70 in indirect sightings (slough).
- Opportunistic survey was also being done.
 Visual encounter is always very important to assess the Ophiofauna in study area so it was also adopted on priority level. [1,2]

Other methods to observe snakes species werealso applied like Field tracking and regular

survey of paths and tracks as some times we encountered snakes on the center of our path during the rainy season. [3,4] So it was important to track all the important and regular paths of forest in evening and early morning time because this is perfect time to observe some nocturnal snake's species like Common Krait (*Bungarus caeruleus* Schneider). 48 of specimens including 27 live sightings and 21 in dead form by accidently were reported. [5,6] For proper identification of snakes we used taxonomy and some field guide to clear identification of individual and for snake's old skin (slough) we used scalation (method of scales count) on the base of taxonomy.[7,8]

OBSERVATION

Ophiofauna of this National Park has never been studied before. In our 2 years intensive researchperiod 19 species of Ophiofauna was reported in Mukundara Hills National Park. Most of the points were visited only during the day which biased documentation towards snakes. We spent equal time on these points at night we could have found many more snakes.

The checklist of Ophiofauna of Mukundara Hills NP is following in the table 1.

| S.No. | Snake Species | Family | Status in |
|-------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | (common and Zoological name) | | NP |
| 1. | Brahminy Worm Snake | Typhlopidae | Common |
| | (Ramphotyphlops | | |
| | brahminusDaudin) | | |
| 2. | Common Sand Boa | Boidae | Common |
| | (Gongylophis | | |
| | conicusSchneider) | | |
| 3. | Red Sand Boa | Boidae | Common |
| | (EryxjohniiRussell) | 77 | |
| 4. | Spectacled Cobra | Elapidae | Common |
| | (Naja naja Linnaeus) ## | 77 | |
| 5. | Common Krait | Elapidae | Common |
| | (Bungaruscaeruleus | | |
| | Schneider)## | 17' 1 | |
| 6. | Saw Scaled Viper | Viperidae | Less |
| | (EchiscarinatusSchneider) | | Common |
| 7. | Russell's Viper | Viperidae | Rare |
| | (DuboiaruselliShaw and | | 112 |
| | Nodder)## | | |
| 8. | Indian Rock | Pythonidae | Less |
| | Python(Python molurus | | Common |
| | molurusLinnaeus) | | Сопинон |
| 9. | Common Trinket | Colubridae | Common |
| | (Coelognathus helena | | |
| | helana Daudin) | | |
| 10. | Common Kukri Snake | Colubridae | Common |
| | (Oligodon arnensisShaw) | | ĺ |
| 11. | Russell's Kukri Snake | Colubridae | Very |
| | (Oligodon | | Rare |
| | taeniolatusJerdon) | | Kare |

| S.No. | Snake Species (common and Zoological name) | Family | Status in NP |
|-------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 12. | Common Bronzeback Tree Snake(Dendrelaphis tristis Daudin) | Colubridae | Less Common |
| 13. | Common Wolf Snake (LycodonaulicusLinnaeus) | Colubridae | Common |
| 14. | Barred Wolf Snake (Lycodon striatus Shaw) | Colubridae | Common |
| 15. | Checkered Keelback(Xenocrophis piscatorSchneider) | Colubridae | Common |
| 16. | Striped Kellback(Amphiesma- stolatum Linnaeus) | Colubridae | Less Common |
| 17. | Common Cat Snake (Boiga-trigonata Daudin)# | Colubridae | Common |
| 18. | Common Vine Snake (Ahaetulla nasutaLacépède)# | Colubridae | Rare |
| 19. | Rat Snake (Ptyas mucosa Linnaeus) | Colubridae | Common |

(Smith, 1943; Whitaker and Ashok, 2008)

: Semi venomous ## : Highlyvenomous Rest all is non-venomous.

RESULT

Present study is very first list of ophiofauna found in this forest ecosystem.

Nineteen species of snakes belonging to 6 families have so far been reported from the study area. Most of the collected specimens belong to family colubridae of which Ptyas Coelognathus helena helanaand mucosa, Xenocrophis piscatorare found the most. Among the poisonous snakes Naja naja is the most common species of forest. Snakes are active and agile species of reptiles and frequent almost every habitat. They are mostly nocturnal and therefore come out of the burrows at night in search of food. Despite that approx. 70% of snakes are non-venomous they are generally considered enemies of mankind and are therefore merci lessly killed by the rural folk whenever encountered. A significant component of the snakes has been collected by the rural folk, which chase and kill them because of fear. This component has been considered as hand picking.

It is certain that the results reported herein area an underestimate of the herpetofaunal diversity and more species will be found on different parts of Rajasthan state. We expect snakes to be the most under represented group of our documentation efforts. This forest still requires much more intensive field research.

DISCUSSION

Snakes are represented by 19 species belonging to 6 families viz. *Typhlopidae*, *Boidae*, *Elapidae*, *Viperidae*, *Pythonidae* and *Colubridae*. Of these, *Ramphotyphlops brahminus*, *Gongylophis conicus*, *Eryxjohnii*, *Naja naja*, *Coelognathus helena helana*, *Oligodon arnensis*, *Lycodonaulicus*, *Lycodon striatus*, *Xenocrophis piscator*, *Boiga-trigonata*, and *Ptyas mucosa* are the most common species of the area. Maximum work on Ophiofauna has been done in Udaipur-Chittorgarh districts of Rajasthan state. [9,10,11,12,13,14] Though *Python molurus molurus* is less common in this area but frequently found in World Famous Keoldeo National Park, Bharatpur, Rajasthan [15]

Indian Ophiofauna contains nearly [16] but Mukundara is a good representative for these mysterious reptiles.

Acknowledgment:

We are thankful to Forest Department of Rajasthan to permit us for our study. We are also thankful to CCF, DFO and Staff members of Mukundara Hills NP and Range Department for their co-operation and moral help.

REFERENCE

- 1. Baig, K. J.; Masroor, R. and Arshad, M. Biodiversity and Ecology of The Herpetofauna of Cholistan Desert, Pakistan. *Russian Journal of Herpetology.* 2008, 15(3): 193 205.
- Onn, C. K.; Belabut, D.; Gregory, R.; van Rooijen, J.; Lee Grismer, L.; Akil, M. A. M.; Ahmad, N. and Jamaludin, H. First Report on The Herpatofauna of Pulau Pangkor, Perak, Malaysia. *Russian Journal of Herpetology*. 2010, 17(2):139 146.
- 3. Frazier, J. Management of Tropical Chelonian: Dream or Nightmare? In: Scott, N.J. Jr. (Ed.): Tropical Ecosystem: Ecology and Management. Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi. 1992, 1-19.
- 4. Grismer, L. L.; Youmans, T. M.; Wood, P. L.; Grismer, J. L. Checklist of The Herpetofauna of The Seribuat Archipelago, West Malasia with Comments on Biogeography, Natural History, and History, and Adaptive Types. The raffles bulletin of zoology. 2006, 54(1): 157-180.

- **5.** Danial, J.C. (2002). The Book of Indian Reptiles and Amphibians. BNHS, Oxford University Press, Mumbai.
- **6.** Whitaker, R.and Captain, Ashok. (2008). Snakes of India, The field guide Draco Books Chennai India.
- **7.** Bolunger, G.A.(1890). The Fauna of British India, including Burma and Ceylon: Reptilia and Batrachia. Taylor and Francis, London.
- **8.** Smith, M.A. The Fauna of British India, Ceylon and Burma, including whole of the Indo-Chinese region. Taylor and Francis, London. **1943**, 3.
- Shalini, G. and Pandey, V.K. Ecological Note on Herpetological Fauna of Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan, India. Cobra. 2007, 1(3):4-7.
- 10. Sharma, S.K. Presence of Common Green Whip Snake (Aheatulla nasutu) at of Phulwari Ki Nal Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan J. bombay. nat.his.soc. 1995a, 92(1): 127.
- **11.** Sharma, S.K. Herpetofauna of Phulwari Ki Nal Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan State. J. Bombay. Nat. His. Soc. **1997b**, 94(3): 573-575.
- **12.** Sharma, S.K. Preliminary Survey of Reptilian Fauna of Mount Abu Wildlife Sanctuary Rajasthan and Snake Conservation Efforts in Mount Abu Town. Cobra. **2001c**, 44:5-10.
- 13. Sharma, S.K.; Bhatnagar, Chhaya.; Koli, Vijay, Kumar; Salvi, Rekha and Yaseen, Mohammad. An Annotated Checklist of Reptilian Fauna of Sitamata Wildlife Sanctuary Rajasthan India, Cobra, 2010, 4 (2).
- **14.** Bhatnagar, C. and Mahur, M. Reptilian Fauna of Bagdarrah Nature Park Udaipur Wildlife division, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India. Cobra. **2009**, 3(2):18-20.
- **15.** Bhupathy, S. and Vijayan, V.S. Status, distribution and general ecology of the Indian python *Pythonmolurus molurus* Linn. in Keoladeo National park, Bharatpur, Rajasthan. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*. **1989**, 86: 3, 381-387.
- 16. Sharma, B. D. Snakes: The Specialized Reptiles: in Sharma, B. D. and Kumari, T. K. (ed.), Snakes in India, A source book.

- Asiatic Publishing House, Delhi, India. **1999,** 352.
- **17.** Bhupathy, S. and Haque, M. N. Association of Indian Rock Python(*Python molurus*) with Porcupine (*Hystrix indica*) *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* **1986,** 83 (2): 449-450.